## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Charles E. Taylor and Jim L. Lee

Title: AIR TREATMENT DEVICE HAVING MULTIPLE DOWNSTREAM

ELECTRODES

Thao T Tran

Application No.: 10/074,209 Filed: February 12, 2002

Patent No.: 7,695,690 Issued: April 13, 2010

Group Art Unit: Atty. Docket No.: 149-0007-3 Confirmation No.: 4379

Mail Stop Patent Ext. Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Examiner

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT (37 C.F.R. § 1.705(d))

1794

Reconsideration of the patent term adjustment indicated in the Letters Patent is hereby requested under 37 C.F.R. § 1.705(d).

This request is being filed within two months of the issue date of the patent. The fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.18(e) (\$200.00) is submitted herewith.

This Request for Reconsideration is being filed in view of <u>Wyeth v. Kappos</u>, No. 2009-1120, (Fed. Cir. Jan. 7, 2010).

## Statement of Facts:

- 1. The patent term adjustment indicated in the Letters Patent is 355 days.
- The correct patent term adjustment is 509 days. That amount includes 216 days under 37 CFR § 702(a), plus 732 days under 37 CFR § 1.702(b), less 439 days of applicant delay under 37 CFR § 1.704.
- 3. The relevant dates are:

Filing Date	Delay under 37 CFR §1.702(a)	Delay under 37 CFR § 1.702(b) (3 <sup>rd</sup> anniversary from filing to first RCE)	Delay under 37 CFR § 1.704 Applicant Initiated Delay
Feb. 12, 2002	First Office Action Nov. 14, 2003 (+216)	Feb. 13, 2005 – Feb. 14, 2007 (+732)	
			Applicant Response to Notice of Missing Parts June 11, 2002 (-5)
			First Office Action Nov. 14, 2004
4			Applicant Response Apr. 16, 2004 (-62)
			Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement Apr. 19, 2004 (-3)
			Final Office Action Mar. 13, 2006  Applicant Response RCE
			Feb. 14, 2007 (-91)

			Supplemental
			Information
			Disclosure
			Statement
			Sept. 7, 2007
			(-31)
			Final Office Action
			Apr. 18, 2008
			Applicant Response
			RCE
			Oct. 20, 2008
			(-94)
			Non-Final Office
			Action
			Jan. 22, 2009
			Applicant Response
			July 21, 2009
			(-90)
			Applicant Rule
			1.312 Amendment
			submitting drawings
			Feb. 10, 2010
			Patent Issuance
			Apr. 13, 2010
			(-63)
Total Delay	216	732	439

 The present PTO calculation does not include 154 days of the total delay under 37 CFR § 1.702(b). In view of Wyeth v. Kappos, correction of the patent term adjustment is respectfully requested.

$\boxtimes$	is not subject to a terminal disclaimer.
	is subject to a terminal disclaimer, and the expiration date specified in the terminal disclaimer is $\underline{n/a}.$

As to circumstances during the prosecution of this application, resulting in the patent that
constitutes a failure to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or
examination of this application as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.704:
 there were none.

these were as follows: Applicant Response to Notice of Missing Parts mailed March 6, 2002 received in the U.S. PTO on June 11, 2002 resulted in a 5 day Applicant

5. This patent:

delay; Applicant Response to non-final Office Action mailed November 14, 2003 received in the U.S. PTO on April 16, 2004 resulted in 62 day Applicant delay; Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement received in the U.S. PTO on April 19, 2004 resulted in 3 day Applicant delay; Response to final Office Action mailed on March 13, 2006 received in U.S. PTO on February 14, 2007 resulted in 91 day Applicant delay; Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement received in U.S. PTO on September 7. 2007 resulted in a 31 day Applicant delay; Response to final Office Action mailed April 18, 2008 received in the U.S. PTO on October 20, 2008 resulted in a 94 day Applicant delay; Response to non-final Office Action mailed January 22, 2009 received in U.S. PTO on July 21, 2009 resulted in a 90 day Applicant delay; Rule 1.312 Amendment filed after Notice of Allowance to submit replacement drawings resulted in a 63 day Applicant delay.

The Total Fee for this paper (\$200.00) is paid as follows:

	Fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.18(e) (\$200.00), required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.705(b)(1), it as follows:
	a check is enclosed for (or including) this Fee.
	please charge this Fee to Deposit Account 50-0631
$\boxtimes$	please charge this Fee to credit card via Electronic Filing System.
$\boxtimes$	The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees under
	37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or 1.17 that may be required during the pendency of this
	application, and to similarly credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account 50-0631.

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this

correspondence is being deposited with the US Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed as shown above.

□ transmitted using the USPTO electronic filing system.

Kirk Dorius

facsimile transmitted to the USPTO.

Kirk Dorius, Reg. No. 54,073 Attorney for Applicant(s)

(512) 338-6321 (direct) (512) 338-6300 (main) (512) 338-6301 (fax)

Respectfully submitted.

Cirk Dorius